Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/521,022	KANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Ganapathy Krishnan</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ms. Darlene Stockley.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 August 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		,
Claims discussed:		
claims 2 and 3.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
see continuation sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
G. Frister		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Informed the Attorney of record that:

- 1. In instant claim 2, insert the term "aliphatic" after the term lower at line 2 and delete "substituted benzyl alcohol" since substitutions have not been defined in the claim or the specification.
- 2. In instant claim 3, delete the terms "such as" and use Markush language and replace the term "trifluoromethyl" by the term "trifluoromethansulfonyl".

The suggested amendments might put the pending claims in a condition for allowance. The attorney faxed the claims with the suggested amendments.